# Analysis of the Impact of Media in the US Elections

Farrukh Aqeel
Cromwell College of IT and Management, London, United Kingdom.
farrukh_aqeel@yahoo.com

## Abstract

New Media has played an increasingly central role in American elections since they first appeared in 1992. While television remains the primary source of election information for a dominant part of voters, digital communication platforms have become prominent. New Media have activated changes in the campaign strategies of political parties, candidates, and political associations; reshaped election media coverage; and influenced voter commitment. The new media condition is dynamic and continues to develop in the novel, sometimes unanticipated, ways that have genuine ramifications for democratic governance and politics. The manner in which individuals, exchange ideas through digital media were intensified all through the election process. Online media have favorable positions over conventional media in view of its capacity to control message content. However, content on social media sites tends to be unfiltered, allowing inaccuracies disseminated. The 2016 presidential election is an example of how citizens must “make sense of the world” by making a “sense of the flood of information.

**Keywords:** Bias polarization, data journalism, media influence

Article Number: IOARP-JSSHR02-011
IOARP Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research
Volume 2, Issue 2, December 2023
Appeared on Digital Library: 15 January 2024
Copyright @ IOARP LTD – International Organization for Academic Research Publishing, City Views House, 5 Union Street, Manchester, M12 4JD, United Kingdom.
www.ioarp.org

## 1. Introduction

As indicated by a survey directed in February 2016 by the Pew Research Center, the percentage of American grownups who regularly get their news online is 38%. This is second just to Television (57%) and bigger than Radio (27%) or print news (20%). Among more youthful age gatherings, 18-29 and 30-49, online news utilization really surpasses TV news utilization. Therefore, it is obvious that a typical theme has risen in the U.S. press, communicated concisely by the Huffington Post in the weeks after the 2008 presidential race: were it not for the web, Barack Obama would not be president. Comparative cases were made in the wake of the 2016 decision crediting the proliferation of online fake news for Donald Trump's upset win. In the meantime, scholars are discussing whether the web is in charge of the expanded ideological polarization of American voters. (Larcinese & Miner, 2017)

The assumption that the discussion of the broadband web can have significant political ramifications is surely not restricted to US governmental issues. The utilization of web control in nations like China, for instance, must be founded on the assumption that free access to a portion of the substance accessible online can have political results. Alec Ross, Hillary Clintons senior political consultant in the US state division, contrasted the web with a Che Guevara of the XXI century. Talking about the upsurge of just developments in some Arab nations (the alleged Arab spring), he proclaimed that fascisms are currently more vulnerable than any time in recent memory as alienated subjects sort out compelling challenge developments on Facebook and Twitter. (Larcinese & Miner, 2017).

## 2. Media Influence on Elections

The facts confirm that the media have assumed a critical job in governmental issues since the First Amendment built up the opportunity of the press as a foundation of American democracy. Voters need data to settle on educating decisions, and it's a journalist's job to offer it to them.

Be that as it may, can the media truly change the result of an election?

In addition to widespread voter fraud, which most experts concur would be difficult to achieve, Trump is alleging the election has been "fixed" through one-sided media inclusion. Ongoing shifts in the media scene have changed how the press communicates with competitors, campaigns and the voting public. Also, when trust in the media is at an untouched low, the fourth domain has experienced harsh criticism from pundits on the two sides of the path for its inclusion of the 2016 races (Brichacek, 2016).

### 2.1. To Cover or not to Cover

The principal way journalists get engaged with elections is by picking which candidates to cover and how much. Those decisions alone can hugely affect voter observations. "As hard as it is to believe, the biggest thing that drives elections is simple name recognition," said Regina Lawrence, official chief of the UO SOJC's Agora Journalism Center and George S. Turnbull Portland Center. "Research has shown that some candidates can be literally left invisible because they can't win enough interest from the media".

### 2.2. Bias Polarization

Research uncovers that many significant news sources pull in partisan audiences, which reflects political biases in their coverage. Once more, this wonder is motivated by business: Since the present news consumers can get the essential certainties from a snappy web look, numerous publications have separated themselves by moving from straight news to context and analysis, Unfortunately, the media's developing political factions appear to drive polarization in the masses also. "Selective exposure is the tendency many of us have to seek out news sources that don't fundamentally challenge what we believe about the world," said Lawrence. "We know there's a relationship between selective exposure and the growing divide in political attitudes in this country. And that gap is clearly related to the rise of more partisan media sources." Beside ideological bias, according to Lawrence, journalists across outlets also perpetuate biased views by distilling complex campaigns and issues into simplified "scripts." One popular election-coverage content is the "horse race" or "game frame" account. "We know from decades of

research that the mainstream media tend to see elections through the prism of competition," said Lawrence. “Campaigns get covered a lot like sports events, with an emphasis on who’s winning, who’s losing, who’s up, who’s down, how they are moving ahead or behind in the polls.” The media additionally propagates character-based content. "For example, in 2000, the content for Al Gore was that he was a pompous bore, and the content for George W. Bush was that he wasn't very smart," said Lawrence.

In the recent presidential race, the accounts that Clinton is a corrupt politician and Trump is a racist, the sexist outsider has ruled the election coverage.

### 2.3. Echo chamber and direct line to the masses

As indicated by an ongoing Pew Research Center investigation, 62 percent of Americans get their news by means of social media platforms. What they probably won't understand is that the news they see is vigorously separated.

"What we see on Facebook is dictated by algorithms that decide what you see based on what you like and dislike, what you comment on and click on," said SOJC Assistant Professor Nicole Dahmen, who explores and writes about visual correspondence and social media in politics. "Rather than getting a diversity of perspectives that contribute to the political discourse, we see an echo chamber.

" Then again, social media gives users more direct access to candidates than ever before. "With social media, voters may believe they have an intimate relationship with a candidate they will probably never meet in person," said Lawrence.

What's more, candidates have extraordinary command over the pictures they present. "Social media allow candidates a direct means by which to communicate with the voting public, thereby bypassing the news media as a gatekeeper," Dahmen said. (Brichacek, 2016).

### 2.4. A picture is worth a thousand words

For most people, visuals convey a much more dominant effect than words on a page.

"Visual communication research has shown that images, especially of political candidates, convey emotions, actions, realism, and credibility," said Dahmen. "These images form a lasting impression in the mind of the voting public."

The photographs, news associations choose to publish and such factors as their size and layout can likewise impact voter perceptions — and uncover conceivably bias.

"Look at how different newspapers across the country presented the story of the nomination of Hillary Clinton as the first female candidate from a major party," said Dahmen. "Some led to a dominant photograph of Hillary that positioned her in a favorable light. Some led to an image of her husband. And other newspapers led with an image of Donald Trump."

Published pictures additionally progressed toward becoming a piece of the lasting record preserved on the web. "Trump may claim he didn’t mock a reporter with a disability," Dahmen stated, "but we have evidence in the form of a video and photographs showing that he did”.

### 2.5. Data journalism

When thought about the least charming piece of a journalist's job, fact-checking has accompanied vogue with assistance from new apparatuses that make verification faster and progressively precise.

"Organizations like PolitiFact and Factcheck.org are doing good-quality journalism that isn’t just following the new, shiny story of the day,” Lawrence said. “They’re asking tough questions about what the candidates are saying and testing them against the available record. But because of selective exposure, research suggests fact-checks will not necessarily change somebody’s mind."

While fact-checkers center consideration around the candidates' stands on the issues, data analysis tools can sustain the media's substantial consideration on the horse race.

“One of the most notable developments in the data journalism space are tools to make predictions about the outcomes of elections,” said Damian Radcliffe, the SOJC’s Carolyn S. Chambers, Professor of Journalism and co-editor of “Data Journalism: Inside the Global Future.” “The most prominent example of this is the work done by Nate Silver at Five Thirty-Eight.”

“Polls influence voter perceptions,” Lawrence said. “And we know that how candidates are doing in the polls can then influence the type of coverage they get.”

The media flock to the front-runners. What's more, the more coverage those candidates get, the higher they will in general move in the polls — a dynamic that can transform into a self-propagating cycle.

### 2.6. Watchdogs of democracy

“Given the claims Trump has been making about rigged elections, I expect journalists to watch voting very carefully,” said Lawrence. “Of course, that’s a very large task with so many polling places across the country.”

To confront that challenge, ProPublica has propelled Electionland to cover "access to the vote and issues that keep individuals from practicing their entitlement to cast a ballot." The SOJC is one of 13 J-schools across the country taking an interest in the undertaking.

"Around 85 students have volunteered to partake in an exceptional newsroom on Election Day," said Radcliffe, staff lead for Electionland. "We'll be checking social media to discover fascinating accounts of things occurring over the West Coast. In the event that we discover issues individuals are discussing, we'll attempt to confirm. Furthermore, if important, we'll heighten them to the newsroom in New York to be investigated in more detail."

At least one thing hasn't changed: Monitoring the activities of capacity to convey the full story to the general population is as yet the most imperative piece of the journalist's job responsibilities. (Brichacek, 2016).

## 3. Social Media to Promote Cause

Maggie Gallagher, the former columnist for the New York Post, stated, "To be faithful to a political party, an individual or group implies you don't see your essential objective as a commitment to talking reality to individuals who are your audience". Groups utilize social media to advance a reason since it enables them to directly address their audiences. Social media enables columnists to reach larger communities. These communities “form and re-form around breaking news stories or communities of interest on social platforms such as Twitter”.

Political correspondence is influenced by the media's determination of substance and framing choices. The framing can be controlled by people and associations with plans to advance. People and associations set up a motivation, setting capacity when they elevate to "impact media content basic leadership.”(Dekat, 2017)

Social media helps with the planning, setting capacity through postings and campaign advertising; social media has preference over conventional media as a result of its capacity to control message content. This capacity enables social media to plan its correspondence to set motivation. Online networking has permitted increment cooperation in news stories, however, it requires a "pledge to precision".

## 4. Advertising

The Internet targeting on frequently incorporates political advertising. Political parties buy advertising to impart messages to "impact the demeanors, practices and political convictions of voters". Political advertising is engaging a direct result of its "power over the situation of the message", "command over the substance of the message," and its capacity to "shape open talk and feeling". Online advertising permits lawmakers and promotion groups to make paid and unpaid social advertising efforts. Famous unpaid platforms incorporate Facebook, blogs, YouTube, and Twitter.

Political crusade advertising will, in general, be a marker of the "plan the candidates wish to propel." Advertising permits campaign the chance to address issues that are not a piece of media motivation. Advertising has been observed to be a powerful apparatus to convince voters. Because of its adequacy, social media advertising is anticipated to increment to $11 billion by 2017, an expansion of nearly $7 billion from 2012. (Dekat, 2017)

Donald Trump declared his candidacy June 16, 2015, so individuals ended up acquainted with the "over the top things", he said by Election Day in November 2016. Since people started to acknowledge Trump's "trademark bluntness and outspokenness and insult-comic rudeness," the Clinton crusade's promoting methodologies were not as viable as they had arranged. The election exhibited on the grounds that a hopeful spent more cash on advertising than the other applicant, there isn't an assurance the individual will win the decision. Between July 14 and November 7, Clinton and Clinton's Political Action Committee (PAC) spent over $110 million more than Trump and his PAC in TV and radio promoting. Clinton and her supporters burned through $111 million contrasted with Trump and his supporters who burned through $654,455. The Democratic party presidential hopefuls spent around $436 million in publicizing, while the Republican party presidential applicants spent roughly $159 million.

"Buying time on TV is as yet an imperative technique today, yet buying time appears to be wasteful in light of the Internet and social media. Internet campaign recordings, regularly showcased through social media, can far effort conventional advertising" Barack Obama's "Yes, We Can!" Internet video in 2008 was a non-conventional campaign strategy, yet it ended up a standard in the 2012 decision. (Dekat, 2017)

Utilizing broadcast media for political exercises is "tedious, asset serious, and costly." Twitter enables messages to be "focused on, rehashed, and refined for most prominent effect at a small number of customary crusade costs". Political advertising on the web, contrasted with TV political advertising, has been found to impact all age groups– with twenty to thirty-year-olds finding online advertising powerful. YuMe, Inc, a supplier of the worldwide group of audience technologies, led a study of 620 U.S. voters who said there is an excessive number of political advertisings, yet they trusted they reacted better to online political advertisements. Paul Neto, executive of research at YuMe, stated, "What we found is those running for office need to approach their computerized choices in an astute and exceptionally focused on way, and on the off chance that they don't, they're probably going to pass up conceivably amusement changing opportunities" (Online Political Advertising, 2016).

## 5. Social Media and Networking

Social media enables people to subscribe to specific news channels of their choice while maintaining a strategic distance from others, which results in the production of an "information bubble". Social media enables consumers to control their content– unlike old media. The new media enables users to pick which associations or groups to connect. Social media additionally enables users to channel news inclusion and data consumed. The psychological disharmony hypothesis is when individuals make progress toward consistency in their convictions." People will, in general, maintain a strategic distance from the media and "different channels of correspondence" that don't concur with their methods of insight; this could build cacophony. Subjective cacophony can cause people inconvenience "by being gone up against with data" varies from their very own comprehension and convictions. Social media enables people to discover data concurs with their comprehension and perspectives.

"The greatest impact of social media might be in the moving of intensity in the political correspondence circle, not from the media to the individual, yet from the media to different politicians and political interests". PRC discovered youthful grownups progressively dependent via social media for news. Along these lines, Gainous and Wagner (2014) anticipated: "candidates looking to win office would give time and assets online variants of advertising, credit asserting, and position taking." They referenced there would be "tweets promoting the competitors' occasions, featuring their alluring individual attributes and underscoring their victories".

Research has discovered the utilization of social media websites to assemble political information varies "across party identification, age, education, and gender, but not across race and income". Both Facebook and Twitter users pursue a wide assortment of individuals, and those individuals frequently hold a wide scope of political discernments. Studies have discovered distinctive gatherings will get to, devour, comprehend, and react to upgrades, identifying with political news in an unexpected way. The racial, gender, orientation or factional assemble an individual is a partial structure his or her mentality. A few out of every odd individual from a group will react in a similar way. In any case, there are unsurprising practices inside each group. Along these lines, the media allude to these aggregate groups with names, for example, "ladies' vote, the Latino vote, or the African-American vote" (Dekat, 2017).

## 6. Conclusion

Concerning the political correspondence process, the public needs to comprehend that every media association chooses and frames the themes they present, motivation is set and advanced by individuals and associations, and technologies' effect on how messages are dispersed and recovered. The 2016 Presidential Election is a case of how journalists must “make sense of the world” by making a “sense of the flood of information” is being delivered to the public. “It now becomes a role of [journalism to] provide citizens with tools they need to extract knowledge for themselves from the undifferentiated flood of rumor, propaganda, gossip, fact, assertion, and allegation the communication system now produces”.

## References

Dekat, K., 2017. Digital Media’s Influence on the 2016 United States Presidential Election. [online] Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319097368_Digital_Media's_Influence_on_the_2016_United_States_Presidential_Election

Larcinese, V. and Miner, L., 2017. The Political Impact of the Internet in US Presidential Elections [online] Available at: http://sticerd.lse.ac.uk/dps/eopp/eopp63.pdf

Brichacek, A., (2016). Six Ways the Media Influences Elections. [online] Journalism and Communication. Available at: https://journalism.uoregon.edu/news/six-ways-media-influences-elections